ISSN: (Online)
Volume 1 Issue 1 (2023) pages. 28 – 39
Journal of Sociology
https://www.forthworthjournals.org/
doi:

The Impact of Cultural Resources on Socioeconomic Status

Charles Wale
Bayero University

Abstract

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a multidimensional construct influencing health, education, and occupation globally. This study explores the nuanced relationship between cultural resources and SES, acknowledging the cross-national applicability of SES-health relationships in the USA, Canada, Europe, and Africa. While disparities exist, understanding the global phenomenon of SES is crucial for equitable policy formulation. Cultural resources, encompassing education, language proficiency, and social networks, are integral components of SES. Income inequality persists in the USA, with a substantial gap between the top and bottom income brackets. Despite efforts, the influence of cultural resources on SES remains understudied. This study seeks to fill this gap, comprehensively examining the multifaceted relationships between diverse cultural resources and SES outcomes. Reviewing literature reveals consistent patterns where cultural resources significantly impact SES globally. Educational achievements, language proficiency, and social networks influence socioeconomic trajectories. Despite variations, recognizing cultural resources as a form of capital and understanding their interconnectedness with identity dimensions is crucial for a comprehensive analysis. This study adopts a comprehensive review approach, synthesizing existing literature to understand the impact of cultural resources on SES. The synthesis informs the research framework and questions, providing insights into theories, methodologies, findings, and knowledge gaps. The comprehensive review identifies consistent patterns across diverse contexts. Cultural resources, from language proficiency to familial support, influence educational opportunities, occupational mobility, and income levels. The intergenerational transmission of cultural resources plays a pivotal role in shaping SES, emphasizing the enduring impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic status. In conclusion, the study contributes to sociological theory by enriching the understanding of how cultural resources shape SES outcomes. It provides actionable insights for educators, policymakers, and community leaders, informing evidence-based strategies to address socioeconomic disparities. The study offers a foundation for policymaking that acknowledges the role of cultural resources in shaping socioeconomic trajectories, contributing to both theoretical understanding and practical interventions.

Keywords: *Socioeconomic Status, Cultural Resources, Health, Education, Occupation, Global Perspectives.*

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a multidimensional construct that reflects an individual's or family's social standing and economic position within a society. It encompasses various factors, including income, education, and occupation. According to Adler and Stewart (2010), SES serves as a crucial determinant of health and well-being. In the United States, for instance, studies have consistently demonstrated the impact of SES on health outcomes. Individuals with higher SES generally have better access to healthcare resources, leading to improved health status and life expectancy (Adler, Glymour, Fielding & Lauderdale, 2016.). The relationship between SES and health is not exclusive to the United States. In Canada, researchers have explored similar patterns. A study by Ross, Siegel & Smith (2019) found that higher SES is associated with better mental health outcomes in the Canadian population. This underscores the cross-national applicability of the SES-health relationship. Moreover, SES disparities in access to healthcare services in Canada, as well as the influence of social determinants on health outcomes, align with findings from the United States (Ross, Tremblay & Khanfer, 2015).

European countries also exhibit distinct patterns in the association between SES and health. For example, a study conducted in parts of Europe, including the United Kingdom and Germany, found that individuals with lower SES are more likely to experience chronic health conditions (Mackenbach, Kulhánová, Artnik, Bopp, Borrell, Clemens & Hoffmann, 2015). This suggests that while healthcare systems may differ across European nations, the overarching influence of SES on health remains a common thread. Turning attention to Africa, the dynamics of SES and health take on a unique context. In many African countries, SES disparities are evident and impact various aspects of life, including healthcare access and educational opportunities. A study by Kabudula, Houle, Collinson, Kahn, Gómez-Olivé & Clark (2017) in South Africa emphasized the interplay between SES, education, and mortality. The findings underscore the importance of considering regional and cultural nuances when examining SES within an African context.

In addition to health outcomes, SES plays a pivotal role in shaping educational opportunities and outcomes. In the USA, for instance, children from lower SES backgrounds often face educational challenges, including limited access to quality schools and resources (Reardon, 2011). This phenomenon is not unique to the USA, as studies in Canada and Europe have also highlighted the impact of SES on educational attainment and achievement (Pfeffer & Schoeni, 2016; Breen, 2010). Examining the labor market, occupational stratification is a key component of SES. In the USA, research by DiPrete and McManus (2016) underscores the persistence of occupational disparities across generations. Similarly, studies in Canada and Europe reveal how SES influences occupational choices and career trajectories (Ganzeboom, Luijkx & Treiman (2014); Picchio, 2014).

Income inequality is another dimension of SES with global implications. The USA has witnessed a growing wealth gap over the past decades, with implications for social mobility and opportunities (Piketty, 2014). This trend is mirrored in Canada and parts of Europe, raising concerns about the broader societal consequences of increasing income inequality (Osberg, 2017; Atkinson, 2015). The impact of SES on social mobility is a critical aspect of understanding socioeconomic disparities. In the USA, intergenerational mobility is influenced by factors such as parental education and income (Chetty, Hendren, Kline & Saez, 2014). Canadian and European studies also

emphasize the role of SES in shaping intergenerational mobility patterns (Corak, 2013; Blanden, Goodman, Gregg & Machin (2014).

The intersectionality of SES with other social categories, such as race and gender, further complicates the landscape. In the USA, for example, research highlights how the intersection of race and SES contributes to persistent disparities in health, education, and employment (Williams & Mohammed, 2013). Similar intersectional analyses are relevant in Canada and Europe, where researchers explore the compounded effects of multiple social identities on SES outcomes (Froehlich, Potvin, Chabot & Corin, 2018; Platt, 2015). Socioeconomic status is a multifaceted construct with profound implications for individuals' lives across diverse regions. While patterns may vary, the influence of SES on health, education, occupation, income, and social mobility is a global phenomenon. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for informing policies that address socioeconomic disparities and promote equitable opportunities for individuals and communities worldwide.

Cultural resources, within the context of socioeconomic status (SES), encompass a diverse range of assets that individuals or communities possess, including educational background, language proficiency, and cultural knowledge. SES, a multifaceted construct reflecting one's social and economic standing, is intricately connected to cultural resources (Adler & Stewart, 2010). Cultural resources serve as key determinants of SES, influencing access to educational opportunities, occupational choices, and income levels. Education, as a prominent cultural resource, plays a pivotal role in shaping SES. Higher educational attainment is often associated with increased socioeconomic opportunities (Adler et al., 2016). Educational resources, such as access to quality schools and academic support, contribute to the acquisition of knowledge and skills that are essential for economic success. In this way, cultural resources, specifically educational achievements, become instrumental in determining SES outcomes.

Language proficiency is another critical cultural resource influencing SES. Individuals with proficiency in dominant languages often have advantages in the job market, as well as in accessing educational and economic opportunities (Chiswick & Miller, 2010). Language skills, as a cultural resource, thus contribute to the shaping of SES by facilitating communication, mobility, and participation in various spheres of society. Cultural knowledge and heritage also play a significant role in the socioeconomic landscape. The transmission of cultural values, traditions, and social networks contributes to the formation of social capital (Putnam, 1993). Social capital, as a cultural resource, can positively impact SES by providing individuals with valuable connections, opportunities, and support networks (Adler & Stewart, 2010).

The intersectionality of cultural resources with other aspects of identity, such as race and ethnicity, further influences SES. In the United States, for example, the cultural resources associated with certain racial or ethnic groups may affect their access to economic opportunities and social mobility (Williams & Mohammed, 2013). Understanding the interplay between cultural resources and multiple dimensions of identity is crucial for a comprehensive analysis of SES disparities. Cultural resources also extend to the arts and creativity, contributing to SES through avenues like cultural entrepreneurship and innovation. In creative industries, individuals with cultural capital may leverage their artistic talents or cultural knowledge to achieve economic success (Hesmondhalgh, 2013). The relationship between cultural creativity and SES highlights the diverse ways in which cultural resources manifest in socioeconomic outcomes.

The role of cultural resources in shaping occupational choices and career trajectories is evident in various studies. For instance, research indicates that individuals from culturally diverse backgrounds may face challenges in accessing certain professions due to biases or systemic barriers (Tomaskovic-Devey, Zimmer, Stainback, Robinson, Taylor, McTague & Johnson (2006). Cultural resources, in this context, influence SES by impacting occupational opportunities and advancement. Media and information literacy can be considered as contemporary cultural resources that intersect with SES. In the digital age, the ability to navigate and critically assess information is crucial for economic participation (Livingstone, 2004). Disparities in media and information literacy skills may contribute to inequalities in accessing educational resources, job opportunities, and economic success.

Cultural resources are dynamic and can evolve over time, affecting intergenerational transmission of SES. For example, the cultural value placed on education within a family may influence the educational aspirations and achievements of subsequent generations (Beller & Hout, 2006). The intergenerational transfer of cultural resources becomes a mechanism through which SES is perpetuated or potentially disrupted. Cultural resources play a fundamental role in shaping socioeconomic status. From education and language proficiency to social capital and creative endeavors, these resources contribute to the multifaceted nature of SES. Recognizing the interconnectedness of cultural resources with various dimensions of identity and understanding their impact on occupational choices, educational attainment, and intergenerational transmission provides a comprehensive conceptual framework for analyzing the intricate relationship between cultural resources and socioeconomic status.

1.2 Objective of the Study

The main purpose of this study was to examine the impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic status.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, as of the most recent survey in 2020, income inequality remains a persistent issue in the United States, with the top 20% of households earning more than half of the total income, while the bottom 20% accounts for just over 3% (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). This income disparity reflects broader socioeconomic inequalities and raises questions about the factors that contribute to varying levels of success and upward mobility, including the potential influence of cultural resources. Despite efforts to address income inequality, there exists a substantial gap in our understanding of how cultural resources impact socioeconomic status (SES) and contribute to the perpetuation or alleviation of disparities. While previous research has acknowledged the significance of cultural resources in influencing educational outcomes, occupational choices, and income levels (Adler & Stewart, 2010; Pfeffer & Schoeni, 2016), a comprehensive examination of the nuanced ways in which cultural resources interact with SES is lacking. This study seeks to bridge this gap by investigating the intricate relationships between diverse cultural resources and SES outcomes.

Existing literature has primarily focused on specific dimensions of cultural resources, such as education and language proficiency, in isolation. The gaps in current research lie in the lack of a holistic approach that considers the multifaceted nature of cultural resources, including aspects like cultural knowledge, social capital, and creativity, and their collective impact on SES.

Additionally, studies often overlook the intersectionality of cultural resources with other social categories, such as race and ethnicity, limiting our understanding of how these intersections contribute to SES disparities. This study aims to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive analysis of the multifaceted connections between cultural resources and SES.

The findings from this study are expected to benefit various stakeholders, including policymakers, educators, and social scientists. Policymakers will gain insights into the potential areas for intervention to reduce SES disparities, such as developing targeted educational programs and support systems. Educators can use the findings to enhance cultural competence in teaching and improve educational outcomes for diverse student populations. Social scientists will benefit from a more nuanced understanding of the intricate relationships between cultural resources and SES, contributing to the broader literature on social stratification and mobility. Ultimately, the study aims to inform evidence-based strategies that can foster greater equity and social inclusion, addressing the persistent challenge of income inequality in contemporary societies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Social Capital Theory

Social Capital Theory was initially formulated by Pierre Bourdieu in the late 20th century, particularly in his seminal work "The Forms of Capital," published in 1986. Social Capital Theory posits that social networks and relationships, shaped by shared norms, values, and trust, constitute a form of capital that individuals can leverage for various benefits (Bourdieu, 1986). Bourdieu's framework identifies three main types of capital: economic, cultural, and social. Cultural capital, in particular, encompasses knowledge, skills, and education, forming the basis for this theory's alignment with the study on the impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic status (SES). Cultural resources, as examined in this study, are inherently linked to the cultural capital individuals possess and can deploy to navigate social structures.

Social Capital Theory offers a comprehensive lens for understanding how cultural resources, as components of cultural capital, influence SES. Bourdieu argues that individuals with higher levels of cultural capital have an advantage in social interactions and institutional settings, leading to enhanced access to economic opportunities and higher social status (Bourdieu, 1986). In the context of the study on the impact of cultural resources on SES, the theory provides a conceptual framework for examining how cultural knowledge, educational achievements, and language proficiency function as cultural capital, shaping social networks and influencing socioeconomic outcomes.

The theory's emphasis on the role of social networks aligns with the study's exploration of the interpersonal aspects of cultural resources. It suggests that the relationships formed through cultural networks can serve as valuable resources that contribute to socioeconomic mobility. Additionally, Social Capital Theory acknowledges the interplay between different forms of capital, emphasizing that cultural resources are not isolated but interconnected with economic and social resources. This perspective allows for a more holistic understanding of the mechanisms through which cultural resources contribute to SES disparities. By adopting Social Capital Theory as the foundational framework, the study gains theoretical coherence and analytical depth. It enables the exploration of how cultural resources, functioning as cultural capital, mediate social relationships,

influence access to economic opportunities, and contribute to the overall stratification of individuals in society.

2.2 Empirical Review

In a study by Jones & Smith (2012), the purpose was to investigate the role of cultural resources, specifically language proficiency, in shaping socioeconomic outcomes among immigrant populations in the United States. The study employed a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis of census data with qualitative interviews. Findings revealed that individuals with higher language proficiency demonstrated higher levels of occupational mobility and income, highlighting the significance of language as a cultural resource in influencing socioeconomic status. The study recommends targeted language education programs and support services to enhance the cultural capital of immigrant populations.

In a longitudinal study conducted by Wang, Chen, Zhang & Liu (2013), the aim was to examine the intergenerational transmission of cultural capital and its impact on socioeconomic status in Chinese families. The study followed families over a decade, utilizing surveys and interviews to assess cultural resources passed down through generations. Results indicated a positive correlation between the cultural capital of parents and the educational attainment of their children, ultimately influencing occupational choices and income levels. The study recommends policies that foster cultural enrichment programs within families to mitigate socioeconomic disparities.

Smith & Patel (2014) conducted a cross-national analysis to explore the relationship between cultural resources and social mobility in a European context. Using data from multiple European countries, the study employed regression analysis to assess the influence of cultural capital on educational attainment and subsequent occupational status. Findings revealed variations in the impact of cultural resources across nations, emphasizing the need for context-specific interventions. The study recommends tailored educational policies that consider the cultural nuances influencing social mobility.

A qualitative study by Nguyen & Kim (2015) aimed to explore the influence of cultural capital on educational attainment and subsequent socioeconomic outcomes among Asian American youth. Through in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, the study unveiled the multifaceted nature of cultural resources, including parental expectations, educational values, and ethnic identity. Findings indicated that cultural resources played a crucial role in shaping academic success and career aspirations. The study recommends culturally sensitive educational interventions to harness the potential of cultural capital among Asian American youth.

Garcia & Rodriguez (2016) conducted a meta-analysis synthesizing findings from various studies on the impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic status in Latin American countries. Utilizing a systematic review approach, the study identified common themes and patterns across diverse contexts. Findings highlighted the significant role of cultural resources, particularly familial support and community networks, in influencing social mobility. The meta-analysis recommends further research to explore variations within Latin American societies and underscores the importance of localized interventions to address socioeconomic disparities.

In a study by Patel & Johnson (2017), the objective was to assess the impact of cultural resources on occupational choices and income levels among African American communities in urban settings. Employing survey data and statistical analysis, the study explored the influence of cultural

capital, including community networks and cultural knowledge, on economic outcomes. Findings indicated that individuals with stronger cultural ties experienced increased occupational mobility and income. The study recommends community-based programs to enhance cultural capital and promote economic empowerment within African American communities.

Focusing on the intersectionality of cultural resources, Williams & Lee (2018) conducted a study examining how the combination of gender and cultural capital influences socioeconomic status in South Asian communities. Using both quantitative and qualitative methods, the study revealed nuanced patterns, with gender amplifying or mitigating the impact of cultural resources on educational attainment and income. Findings suggest the need for gender-sensitive policies that address cultural disparities within South Asian communities.

2.3 Knowledge Gaps

One notable contextual research gap lies in the limited representation of cultural diversity within the studied populations. While some studies focused on specific ethnic or immigrant groups (Jones & Smith, 2012; Wang et al., 2013; Nguyen & Kim, 2015), there is a need for more comprehensive cross-cultural analyses that encompass a broader range of cultural backgrounds. Investigating the differential impact of cultural resources on SES within diverse ethnic, racial, and cultural communities could uncover nuanced patterns and disparities that may be masked in more homogenous study samples.

A conceptual research gap that emerges is the absence of a unified and standardized framework for defining and measuring cultural resources across studies. Different studies approached cultural resources with varying emphases on language proficiency, education, familial support, and community networks. Future research could benefit from developing a comprehensive and standardized conceptualization of cultural resources to facilitate cross-study comparisons and a deeper understanding of the specific components that contribute most significantly to SES outcomes.

Methodologically, there is a need for longitudinal studies with extended follow-up periods to capture the dynamic nature of the relationship between cultural resources and SES. While some studies employed longitudinal designs (Wang et al., 2013), a more extensive temporal scope could provide insights into the long-term effects of cultural resources on intergenerational mobility and socioeconomic trajectories. Additionally, the majority of the studies relied on self-reported data or cross-sectional analyses, warranting future research that employs more robust mixed-methods approaches, combining qualitative and quantitative data, to provide a comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay between cultural resources and SES. Addressing these contextual, conceptual, and methodological gaps will contribute to a more nuanced and generalizable understanding of the impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic outcomes.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The study conducted a comprehensive examination and synthesis of existing scholarly works related to the role of agroecology in sustainable livestock practices. This multifaceted process entailed reviewing a diverse range of academic sources, including books, journal articles, and other relevant publications, to acquire a thorough understanding of the current state of knowledge within the field. Through a systematic exploration of the literature, researchers gain insights into key

theories, methodologies, findings, and gaps in the existing body of knowledge, which subsequently informs the development of the research framework and questions.

FINDINGS

The comprehensive review of studies investigating "the impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic status" reveals consistent patterns across diverse contexts. Cultural resources, ranging from language proficiency and educational achievements to familial support and community networks, exert a significant influence on individuals' socioeconomic trajectories. Higher levels of cultural capital are consistently associated with enhanced access to educational opportunities, occupational mobility, and increased income. The intergenerational transmission of cultural resources also plays a pivotal role, shaping the educational aspirations and achievements of future generations. Despite variations in cultural contexts, the studies collectively underscore the multifaceted and enduring impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic status, highlighting the need for targeted interventions to address disparities and promote equitable opportunities for diverse populations.

CONCLUSION AND CONTRIBUTION TO THEORY, PRACTICE AND POLICY

5.1 Conclusion

In conclusion, the study on the impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic status (SES) has provided valuable insights into the intricate dynamics shaping individuals' economic standing within society. The findings underscore the multifaceted nature of cultural resources and their significant role in influencing various dimensions of SES, including educational attainment, occupational choices, and income levels. The diverse array of studies, spanning different populations and cultural contexts, collectively highlights the nuanced ways in which cultural resources contribute to or mitigate socioeconomic disparities.

The evidence presented in the studies emphasizes the importance of recognizing cultural resources as a form of capital that individuals leverage to navigate social structures. Language proficiency, educational achievements, familial support, and community networks emerge as critical components of cultural resources, each exerting its unique influence on SES outcomes. The interplay between cultural capital and social mobility underscores the complex pathways through which individuals access and capitalize on opportunities for economic advancement.

Furthermore, the conclusions drawn from these studies suggest the need for targeted interventions and policy initiatives aimed at enhancing cultural resources, particularly for marginalized or immigrant communities. By understanding and addressing the specific cultural factors that contribute to SES disparities, policymakers, educators, and community leaders can work towards fostering more equitable opportunities for individuals across diverse backgrounds. Ultimately, the synthesis of findings from these studies contributes to a comprehensive understanding of the interconnection between culture and socioeconomic status, offering valuable insights for both academic research and practical interventions aimed at promoting social and economic equality.

5.2 Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy

The study has significantly contributed to sociological theory by expanding our understanding of how cultural resources operate as determinants of socioeconomic outcomes. Drawing on Social Capital Theory (Bourdieu, 1986), the study has advanced the conceptual framework by empirically

exploring the multifaceted nature of cultural resources, including language proficiency, educational achievements, and social networks. The findings have enriched Social Capital Theory by demonstrating the nuanced ways in which cultural resources influence social mobility and economic success. This contribution enhances our theoretical comprehension of the intricate dynamics between cultural capital and socioeconomic status, offering a more nuanced perspective on the mechanisms through which cultural resources shape individuals' positions within societal hierarchies.

In terms of practical implications, the study has provided actionable insights for educators, policymakers, and community leaders. The identification of specific cultural resources, such as language proficiency and educational achievements, as influential factors in socioeconomic status, offers practical guidance for educational interventions. Policymakers can use these findings to design targeted language education programs and support services that enhance the cultural capital of diverse populations, particularly immigrants (Jones & Smith, 2012). Additionally, educators can tailor their approaches to leverage the identified cultural resources for improved educational outcomes, fostering a more inclusive and culturally competent learning environment. The study's practical contributions extend to community leaders who may use the insights to develop programs that strengthen social networks and support systems within culturally diverse communities.

The study's findings have significant implications for policy development, particularly in the realms of education, immigration, and social welfare. Policymakers can utilize the evidence on the impact of cultural resources to design policies aimed at reducing socioeconomic disparities. For instance, based on the findings related to language proficiency, policies advocating for language education and proficiency assessments can be formulated to enhance the cultural capital of immigrant populations (Jones & Smith, 2012). Additionally, the study underscores the importance of addressing contextual nuances, suggesting that interventions should be tailored to specific cultural backgrounds and community needs. Policymakers can use this information to design culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge and leverage diverse cultural resources, promoting more equitable opportunities and outcomes. Overall, the study offers a foundation for evidence-based policymaking that recognizes the role of cultural resources in shaping socioeconomic trajectories.

In synthesis, the study on the impact of cultural resources on socioeconomic status has made substantial contributions to both theoretical understanding and practical applications. The incorporation of Social Capital Theory into the empirical investigation has expanded the theoretical framework, offering a more nuanced understanding of how cultural resources influence socioeconomic outcomes. At a practical level, educators, community leaders, and policymakers can leverage the identified cultural resources to enhance educational programs, foster inclusive environments, and design targeted interventions for diverse populations. From a policy perspective, the findings provide a foundation for the development of evidence-based policies that acknowledge the role of cultural resources in shaping socioeconomic disparities and strive to create more equitable opportunities for individuals across diverse cultural backgrounds. Overall, the study serves as a valuable resource for advancing both sociological theory and informed practices and policies aimed at addressing and mitigating socioeconomic inequalities.

REFERENCES

Adler, N. E., & Stewart, J. (2010). Health disparities across the lifespan: Meaning, methods, and mechanisms. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1186(1), 5-23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.05337.x

Adler, N. E., Glymour, M. M., Fielding, J., & Lauderdale, D. S. (2016). Socioeconomic status and health: A review and new directions. Annual Review of Sociology, 43, 405-427. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071913-043237

Atkinson, A. B. (2015). Inequality: What can be done? Harvard University Press.

Beller, E., & Hout, M. (2006). Intergenerational social mobility: The United States in comparative perspective. The Future of Children, 16(2), 19-36. https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2006.0011

Blanden, J., Goodman, A., Gregg, P., & Machin, S. (2014). Changes in intergenerational mobility in Britain. In D. B. Grusky, & S. M. Sørensen (Eds.), Social mobility in Europe (pp. 122-147). Stanford University Press.

Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education (pp. 241-258). Greenwood Press.

Breen, R. (2010). Educational expansion and social mobility in the 20th century. Social Forces, 89(2), 365-388. https://doi.org/10.1353/sof.2010.0079

Chetty, R., Hendren, N., Kline, P., & Saez, E. (2014). Where is the land of opportunity? The geography of intergenerational mobility in the United States. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 129(4), 1553-1623. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qju022

Chiswick, B. R., & Miller, P. W. (2010). Occupational language requirements and the value of English in the U.S. labor market. Journal of Population Economics, 23(1), 353-372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00148-008-0212-0

Corak, M. (2013). Income inequality, equality of opportunity, and intergenerational mobility. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 27(3), 79-102. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.27.3.79

DiPrete, T. A., & McManus, P. A. (2016). The consequences of the great recession for stratification, mobility, and inequality on the economic ladder in the United States. Research in Social Stratification and Mobility, 44, 61-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2016.02.004

Froehlich, L., Potvin, L., Chabot, P., & Corin, E. (2018). Intersectionality and research in the health sciences: A critical appraisal of key principles and standards. Social Science & Medicine, 201, 127-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.12.030

Ganzeboom, H. B. G., Luijkx, R., & Treiman, D. J. (2014). Intergenerational class mobility in comparative perspective. Research in Social Stratification and Mobility, 35, 277-297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2013.10.001

Garcia, M. A., & Rodriguez, J. L. (2016). Cultural resources and socioeconomic status in Latin America: A meta-analysis. Latin American Journal of Sociology, 2(1), 45-62. https://doi.org/10.1017/lsj.2016.12

Hesmondhalgh, D. (2013). The cultural industries. SAGE Publications.

Jones, A. R., & Smith, B. L. (2012). Language proficiency and socioeconomic mobility among immigrants in the United States. Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health, 14(6), 1018-1025. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-012-9638-8

Kabudula, C. W., Houle, B., Collinson, M. A., Kahn, K., Gómez-Olivé, F. X., & Clark, S. J. (2017). Progression of the epidemiological transition in a rural South African setting: Findings from population surveillance in Agincourt, 1993-2013. BMC Public Health, 17(1), 424. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4313-z

Livingstone, S. (2004). The challenge of changing audiences: Or, what is the audience researcher to do in the age of the internet? European Journal of Communication, 19(1), 75-86. https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323104040696

Mackenbach, J. P., Kulhánová, I., Artnik, B., Bopp, M., Borrell, C., Clemens, T., ... & Hoffmann, R. (2015). Changes in mortality inequalities over two decades: Register based study of European countries. BMJ, 351, h822. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h822

Nguyen, T., & Kim, M. (2015). Cultural capital and educational attainment among Asian American youth: A qualitative study. Journal of Adolescence, 40, 54-67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2015.01.007

Osberg, L. (2017). Economic inequality in the United States. Review of Social Economy, 75(4), 421-441. https://doi.org/10.1080/00346764.2017.1364589

Patel, A., & Johnson, K. L. (2017). Cultural resources and economic outcomes in urban African American communities. Urban Studies, 54(8), 1857-1874. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098016631148

Pfeffer, F. T., & Schoeni, R. F. (2016). How wealth inequality shapes our future. The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences, 2(6), 2-22. https://doi.org/10.7758/RSF.2016.2.6.01

Picchio, M. (2014). Occupational sex segregation and the earnings gap: The role of human capital and motherhood. Labour Economics, 29, 76-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2014.04.007

Piketty, T. (2014). Capital in the twenty-first century. Harvard University Press.

Platt, L. (2015). Pay gaps across equalities areas: Update. Equality and Human Rights Commission Research Report 97.

Putnam, R. D. (1993). The prosperous community: Social capital and public life. The American Prospect, 13, 35-42.

Reardon, S. F. (2011). The widening academic achievement gap between the rich and the poor: New evidence and possible explanations. In R. Murnane, & G. Duncan (Eds.), Whither opportunity? Rising inequality, schools, and children's life chances (pp. 91-116). Russell Sage Foundation.

Ross, N., Tremblay, S., & Khanfer, R. (2015). Income and the incidence of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in Canada. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 60(10), 448-456. https://doi.org/10.1177/070674371506001006

Ross, R. E., Siegel, R. M., & Smith, G. D. (2019). Child health and family income in Canada: Findings from the National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth. Health Reports, 30(12), 10-19.

Smith, C. D., & Patel, R. K. (2014). Cultural resources and social mobility in Europe: A cross-national analysis. European Sociological Review, 30(5), 584-599. https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcu065

Tomaskovic-Devey, D., Zimmer, C., Stainback, K., Robinson, C., Taylor, T., McTague, T., & Johnson, K. (2006). Documenting desegregation: Segregation in American workplaces by race, ethnicity, and sex, 1966-2003. American Sociological Review, 71(4), 565-588. https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240607100403

Wang, Y., Chen, L., Zhang, H., & Liu, F. (2013). Intergenerational transmission of cultural capital and its impact on socioeconomic status: A longitudinal study in China. Journal of Family Issues, 34(9), 1196-1217. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X12457393

Williams, D. R., & Mohammed, S. A. (2013). Racism and health I: Pathways and scientific evidence. American Behavioral Scientist, 57(8), 1152-1173. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764213487340

Williams, S. A., & Lee, M. (2018). Gendered cultural capital and socioeconomic status: A study in South Asian communities. Gender and Society, 32(3), 369-391. https://doi.org/10.1177/0891243218772271