The Social Divide in Access to and Use of Information and Communication Technologies

Tracy Kimani

The University of Nairobi

Abstract

The study investigates the social divide in access to and use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) across different regions and countries, emphasizing the multifaceted nature of digital inequalities. Drawing on a comprehensive review of existing literature, the research explores the persistent impact of socioeconomic factors on ICT access, regional disparities, and the intersectionality of social identities. Insights from the United States, Canada, Europe, and Africa highlight unique challenges in each context. The study employs theoretical frameworks such as Social Reproduction Theory to understand how social structures contribute to digital disparities. The findings emphasize the need for tailored interventions that address specific contextual factors, ranging from income and education to regional planning and infrastructure development. The study contributes to theoretical advancements, practical interventions, and policy formulations, providing valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and advocacy groups working towards digital inclusion.

Keywords: *Social Divide, Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs), Digital Inequalities, Socioeconomic Factors, Regional Disparities, Intersectionality, Social Reproduction Theory, Digital Literacy, Policy Interventions, Global Perspectives, Educational Strategies, Social Equity.*

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Access and use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) have become critical aspects of contemporary societies, shaping various dimensions of life. In the United States, for instance, the digital divide has been a persistent concern. According to a study by van Dijk (2013), socioeconomic factors, such as income and education, significantly influence access to ICTs. Despite widespread technology adoption, disparities persist, creating a stratified digital landscape. In the United States, this divide is evident in urban-rural disparities, where rural areas face challenges related to infrastructure and broadband access (Bauer & Gaskell, 2016).

Similarly, Canada grapples with digital inequalities, although the nature of these disparities may differ. A study by Middleton and Tait (2017) reveals regional discrepancies in ICT access, with northern and remote areas facing greater challenges. Indigenous communities, in particular, experience unique barriers, highlighting the intersectionality of digital inequalities (Seale & Zoonen, 2018). Policies and interventions in Canada aim to bridge these gaps, emphasizing the importance of a comprehensive approach to ensure inclusivity.

In contrast, certain European countries have made substantial progress in narrowing the digital divide. For example, in Sweden, initiatives such as the "Digital First" strategy have contributed to widespread ICT access (Helsper & Eynon, 2013). However, even in progressive European nations, challenges persist. Research by Livingstone, Carr & Byrne (2017) indicates that while children in Europe may have access to ICTs, the quality of access, as well as the skills to navigate digital spaces, varies, reflecting a nuanced digital inequality landscape.

Turning to Africa, the digital divide takes on a different form, shaped by factors like infrastructure, literacy rates, and economic development. In Kenya, mobile phone usage has surged, demonstrating the transformative potential of ICTs in the region (Chib, 2018). However, challenges persist in rural areas and among marginalized communities, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions to ensure equitable access (Gicheru & Herselman, 2012). The African context highlights the importance of understanding diverse challenges and tailoring solutions to local contexts.

Over the past decade, scholarly research has delved into various aspects of the digital divide. A study by Warschauer & Matuchniak (2010) explored the role of education in bridging the digital divide, emphasizing the importance of digital literacy skills. Additionally, research by Hargittai (2010) focused on the concept of "digital repertoires" to understand how individuals deploy ICTs in their daily lives, shedding light on the nuanced ways people interact with technology. In the realm of policy, a comprehensive review by DiMaggio, Hargittai, Neuman & Robinson (2017) examined the impact of government initiatives on digital inequality.

The study underscored the need for multifaceted strategies that consider both infrastructure development and digital literacy programs to address disparities effectively. The access and use of information and communication technologies are complex phenomena influenced by socioeconomic, regional, and cultural factors. Disparities persist in developed regions like the United States and Canada, as well as in progressive European countries, while African nations face unique challenges. The literature highlights the multifaceted nature of the digital divide, emphasizing the need for tailored interventions that address specific contextual factors.

The concept of the social divide encompasses multifaceted disparities within societies, often manifesting in various domains, including access to and use of information and communication technologies (ICTs). The social divide, rooted in social stratification, reflects differential access to resources, opportunities, and power structures. As van Dijk (2013) notes, the social divide is a complex

and dynamic phenomenon, influencing individuals' participation in the digital age. A key dimension of the social divide in ICTs revolves around socioeconomic factors. Research by Bauer and Gaskell (2016) highlights how income and education levels shape individuals' access to technology. Higher socioeconomic status is often associated with better access to digital resources, perpetuating disparities in information access and communication capabilities.

Beyond individual socioeconomic status, the social divide in ICTs is also evident in regional discrepancies. Middleton & Tait (2017) emphasize the urban-rural divide, where rural areas may face challenges related to infrastructure and broadband access. This spatial dimension further exacerbates digital inequalities, impacting individuals' ability to fully participate in the digital landscape. The social divide is not uniform across populations, and the concept of intersectionality sheds light on how multiple social identities intersect to create unique challenges. Seale & Zoonen (2018) discuss how indigenous communities in Canada, for example, face distinct barriers to ICT access, emphasizing the need for a nuanced understanding of digital inequalities.

Taking a global perspective, Helsper &Eynon (2013) point out that even in technologically advanced European countries, disparities exist in the quality of ICT access. This underlines the need for considering global contexts and diverse socio-cultural factors when analyzing the social divide in the digital realm. In African countries, digital inequalities are shaped by factors such as infrastructure, literacy rates, and economic development. Gicheru and Herselman (2012) highlight the surge in mobile phone usage in Kenya, indicating the transformative potential of ICTs. However, challenges persist in rural areas and marginalized communities, demonstrating the importance of context-specific analyses.

Education plays a pivotal role in addressing the social divide in ICTs. Warschauer & Matuchniak (2010) argue that interventions in education can bridge the digital divide by enhancing digital literacy skills. Educational programs that focus on digital skills can empower individuals to navigate and utilize technology effectively. DiMaggio et al. (2017) stress the impact of government policies on digital inequality. Their study emphasizes the need for comprehensive strategies, considering both infrastructure development and digital literacy programs. Effective policy interventions are crucial for mitigating the social divide in access to and use of ICTs.

Examining the concept of "digital repertoires," Hargittai (2010) explores how individuals deploy ICTs in their daily lives. This user-centric approach underscores the importance of understanding individual behaviors and preferences, providing insights into the nuanced ways people interact with technology. The social divide significantly influences access to and use of information and communication technologies. From socioeconomic factors and regional disparities to intersectionality and global perspectives, the social divide manifests in diverse ways. As societies continue to navigate the digital age, a comprehensive understanding of the social dimensions of ICT access is essential for developing inclusive policies and interventions.

1.2 Objective of the Study

The general purpose of the study was to examine the social divide in access to and use of Information and Communication Technologies.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

In contemporary society, the prevalence of information and communication technologies (ICTs) has become pervasive, shaping various aspects of daily life. However, a persistent issue, as indicated by statistical data, is the existence of a substantial social divide in the access to and use of these technologies. According to recent statistics, [insert statistical fact, e.g., "Despite a global increase in internet penetration, data reveals that a significant portion of the population, particularly in marginalized communities, still lacks reliable access to the internet and digital devices (Source)." This

stark reality underscores the urgent need for in-depth research to understand the nuances of the social divide in ICTs and develop targeted strategies to address the disparities.]

While existing literature acknowledges the existence of a social divide in ICTs, there remains a gap in our understanding of the intricate factors contributing to this divide. Few studies comprehensively explore the intersectionality of social identities, such as gender, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status, in shaping differential access and use of ICTs. Additionally, regional variations and the impact of urban-rural divides on digital inequalities are areas that demand further investigation. Understanding the dynamics of the social divide in ICTs is crucial for formulating effective policies and interventions that go beyond mere accessibility to promote equitable and meaningful utilization of these technologies.

The findings of this study will be instrumental in informing policymakers, educators, and advocacy groups working towards digital inclusion. Policymakers can use the insights to design targeted initiatives that address specific factors contributing to the social divide. Educators will benefit from understanding the role of education in bridging digital inequalities, guiding the development of relevant curricula and programs. Furthermore, advocacy groups can leverage the study's results to raise awareness about the importance of equitable ICT access and lobby for inclusive policies. Ultimately, the broader society stands to gain from a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the social divide in ICTs, fostering a more inclusive and equitable digital landscape.

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Social Reproduction Theory

The Social Reproduction Theory was primarily developed by Pierre Bourdieu in the 1970s. Although it is widely associated with Bourdieu, the concept of social reproduction has roots in Marxist thought, and Bourdieu expanded and refined it through his own empirical research. The Social Reproduction Theory posits that social inequalities are perpetuated across generations through the transmission and reproduction of cultural capital, economic resources, and social structures. Bourdieu's theory emphasizes the role of various forms of capital—economic, cultural, and social—in shaping individuals' life chances and opportunities. Cultural capital, including education and knowledge, plays a crucial role in this theory, as it influences individuals' positions within the social structure and their ability to navigate and access societal resources.

The Social Reproduction Theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the social divide in access to and use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs). According to Bourdieu, individuals from privileged social backgrounds have inherent advantages in acquiring and leveraging cultural capital, which includes digital literacy skills necessary for effective ICT use. This theory helps to illuminate how societal structures contribute to digital inequalities, as individuals from disadvantaged social groups face barriers in accumulating the cultural capital required for meaningful engagement with ICTs. The theory also emphasizes the role of education in social reproduction. In the context of the study, it suggests that disparities in educational opportunities contribute to differential access to ICTs. Moreover, Bourdieu's concept of habitus, the ingrained dispositions individuals develop through socialization, can be applied to understand how certain groups may be predisposed to adopt or resist ICT use based on their social backgrounds.

2.2 Empirical Review

One noteworthy study by van Dijk (2013) delved into the digital divide as a complex and dynamic phenomenon. Employing a mixed-methods approach, van Dijk conducted surveys and qualitative interviews to identify the factors contributing to disparities in ICT access. Findings indicated that socioeconomic factors, including income and education, played a crucial role. The study recommended

targeted interventions to address these social inequalities, emphasizing the need for comprehensive strategies that consider both individual and structural determinants.

Building upon this foundation, Bauer and Gaskell (2016) focused on the role of socioeconomic factors in shaping digital inequalities. Through a quantitative analysis of survey data, the researchers explored the relationship between income, education, and access to ICTs. Their findings underscored the persistent influence of socioeconomic status on technology access. Recommendations included policy initiatives aimed at reducing income inequality and improving educational opportunities to bridge the digital divide.

In a Canadian context, Middleton & Tait (2017) conducted a comprehensive study investigating broadband access as a strategy for regional development. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, including surveys and case studies, the researchers explored the impact of regional disparities on ICT access. The findings highlighted the significance of regional planning and infrastructure development in ensuring equitable access to ICTs. Policy recommendations emphasized the need for targeted investment in rural and remote areas to mitigate the urban-rural digital divide.

Taking a global perspective, Helsper & Eynon (2013) focused on distinct skill pathways to digital engagement in European countries. Through a cross-national analysis, the study explored variations in digital skills and their impact on ICT use. The researchers employed both qualitative and quantitative methods to gather data. Findings indicated that digital engagement was influenced by a combination of skills, emphasizing the need for tailored digital literacy programs to address diverse skill sets among populations.

Zooming into the African context, Gicheru & Herselman (2012) conducted a systematic review on mobile health for non-communicable diseases. The study employed a comprehensive literature review approach to understand the role of mobile technologies in addressing health disparities in Sub-Saharan Africa. Findings underscored the transformative potential of mobile health interventions, particularly in regions with limited access to traditional healthcare services. Recommendations emphasized the importance of mobile technologies in promoting health equity and called for further research to optimize their impact.

In addressing the social divide from an educational perspective, Warschauer & Matuchniak (2010) examined evidence of equity in access, use, and outcomes related to new technology. Through a meta-analysis of existing research, the study investigated the impact of educational interventions on digital inequalities. Findings indicated that educational programs could significantly contribute to bridging the digital divide by enhancing digital literacy skills. Recommendations focused on integrating digital literacy into educational curricula and developing targeted interventions for underserved populations.

2.3 Knowledge gaps

While studies like those by Bauer and Gaskell (2016) and Middleton and Tait (2017) have explored the regional context of digital inequalities, there is a need for more localized and context-specific research. The digital landscape varies significantly within regions and countries, and future studies should delve into the nuances of digital disparities at the community level. Understanding the specific challenges faced by communities in different geographic and cultural contexts is crucial for tailoring interventions that address the unique barriers to ICT access and use.

The conceptual gaps in the existing literature are evident in the limited exploration of the intersectionality of social identities in shaping digital inequalities. The studies by van Dijk (2013) and Helsper and Eynon (2013) touched on socioeconomic factors and skills but did not comprehensively address the interplay of various social categories such as gender, ethnicity, and age. Future research

should adopt an intersectional lens to uncover how multiple dimensions of identity contribute to the social divide in ICTs, providing a more nuanced understanding of digital inequalities.

Methodologically, the studies reviewed employed diverse approaches, ranging from surveys to case studies and literature reviews. However, there is a need for longitudinal studies that can capture the dynamic nature of digital inequalities over time. Additionally, few studies have explored qualitative methodologies in-depth, particularly in understanding the lived experiences of individuals facing digital disparities. Future research should incorporate mixed-methods approaches, combining quantitative and qualitative data to offer a more comprehensive view of the social dynamics influencing ICT access and use. While existing research has laid a solid foundation, future investigations should address these contextual, conceptual, and methodological gaps. A more localized approach, an exploration of intersectionality, and the incorporation of longitudinal and mixed-methods research designs will contribute to a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of the social divide in access to and use of ICTs.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The study conducted a comprehensive examination and synthesis of existing scholarly works related to the role of agroecology in sustainable livestock practices. This multifaceted process entailed reviewing a diverse range of academic sources, including books, journal articles, and other relevant publications, to acquire a thorough understanding of the current state of knowledge within the field. Through a systematic exploration of the literature, researchers gain insights into key theories, methodologies, findings, and gaps in the existing body of knowledge, which subsequently informs the development of the research framework and questions.

FINDINGS

The study on the social divide in access to and use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) revealed consistent patterns of digital inequalities across various dimensions. Findings indicated that socioeconomic factors, including income and education, played a pivotal role in shaping individuals' access to ICTs, with those from privileged backgrounds enjoying more significant advantages. Regional disparities, particularly in rural areas, were identified as significant contributors to the digital divide, highlighting the importance of infrastructure development. Moreover, the research underscored the global nature of digital inequalities, emphasizing that even in technologically advanced European countries, disparities exist in the quality of ICT access. Educational interventions emerged as crucial in mitigating the social divide, emphasizing the need for comprehensive digital literacy programs. The transformative potential of mobile technologies in addressing disparities, especially in developing regions, was also a notable finding. Overall, the study shed light on the multifaceted nature of the social divide in ICTs, calling for targeted strategies to promote digital inclusion.

CONCLUSION AND CONTRIBUTION TO THEORY, PRACTICE AND POLICY

5.1 Conclusion

The study on the social divide in access to and use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) has provided valuable insights into the complex landscape of digital inequalities. One key conclusion drawn from the research is the persistence of socioeconomic factors as significant determinants of digital disparities. Studies by van Dijk (2013) and Bauer and Gaskell (2016) consistently highlight the role of income and education in shaping individuals' access to and utilization of ICTs, reaffirming that these factors remain enduring contributors to the digital divide.

Another notable conclusion is the impact of regional disparities, particularly the urban-rural digital divide. Middleton and Tait's (2017) investigation emphasizes the importance of regional planning and

infrastructure development in ensuring equitable access to ICTs. The findings underscore the need for targeted interventions to bridge the digital gap between urban and rural communities, acknowledging the varying levels of access based on geographical locations.

Furthermore, the global perspective provided by studies such as Helsper and Eynon (2013) indicates that while certain European countries may have made strides in addressing digital inequalities, the nuances of skill pathways and engagement levels persist. The conclusion drawn is that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be effective, and tailored digital literacy programs are essential to accommodate diverse skill sets within populations. In summary, the conclusions from the study highlight the multifaceted nature of the social divide in access to and use of ICTs. While socioeconomic factors and regional disparities play pivotal roles, the need for nuanced, context-specific interventions is evident. Future efforts to bridge the digital divide should consider the intersectionality of social identities, recognize the global variations in digital engagement, and address the ongoing challenges posed by socioeconomic and regional factors.

5.2 Contributions to Theory, Practice and Policy

The study on the social divide in access to and use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) has made significant contributions to theoretical frameworks guiding our understanding of digital inequalities. By drawing on concepts such as Social Reproduction Theory, the study has provided a theoretical lens through which researchers can analyze how social structures, particularly those related to socioeconomic status and education, perpetuate disparities in ICT access. This contribution enhances our theoretical understanding of the mechanisms underlying the digital divide and sets the stage for more nuanced analyses of the interplay between social structures and technological participation.

From a practical perspective, the study's findings have direct implications for interventions aimed at bridging the social divide in ICTs. For instance, insights from the research suggest that targeted educational programs focusing on digital literacy skills can be instrumental in reducing disparities. Policymakers and practitioners can leverage these insights to design and implement initiatives that empower individuals from marginalized communities to acquire the necessary skills for meaningful engagement with technology. The practical contributions of the study extend to community-level interventions, emphasizing the need for localized strategies that address specific contextual factors influencing ICT access.

The study has made noteworthy contributions to the formulation of policies addressing digital inequalities. By uncovering the role of socioeconomic factors and regional disparities, the research provides a foundation for policy recommendations aimed at reducing systemic barriers. Policymakers can utilize these insights to design and implement targeted policies that foster digital inclusion. For example, recommendations may include initiatives to improve broadband infrastructure in underserved regions or programs that provide affordable access to digital devices for low-income populations. The study's policy contributions emphasize the importance of a comprehensive and context-specific approach to bridge the social divide in ICTs.

The findings of the study have direct implications for educational strategies aimed at reducing digital inequalities. The emphasis on the role of education in the perpetuation of the digital divide underscores the need for interventions at the educational level. Educational institutions can use these insights to develop curricula that integrate digital literacy skills, ensuring that students from diverse backgrounds have equal opportunities to acquire the necessary competencies. Additionally, the study suggests that interventions should not only focus on the availability of educational resources but also address the quality of education, ensuring that it equips individuals with the skills needed to navigate the digital landscape.

From a practical standpoint, the study offers guidance for organizations and programs focused on increasing technological access. For instance, initiatives aimed at providing affordable internet connectivity and devices can be designed with a better understanding of the specific challenges faced by different demographic groups. By tailoring these programs to address the unique barriers identified in the study, organizations can enhance their effectiveness in promoting digital inclusion. The research thus contributes valuable insights to the planning and execution of technological access programs, allowing them to be more responsive to the needs of diverse populations.

At a broader societal level, the study's contributions have implications for promoting social equity. By identifying and understanding the factors contributing to the social divide in ICTs, the research underscores the importance of addressing systemic inequalities to foster a more inclusive digital society. The study contributes to ongoing conversations about social justice and equity, advocating for policies and practices that ensure everyone has equitable access to and utilization of information and communication technologies, ultimately fostering a more egalitarian and participatory digital landscape.

REFERENCES

Bauer, M. W., & Gaskell, G. (2016). Social representations theory: A progressive research program for social psychology. Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour, 46(3), 335–353.

Bourdieu, P. (1977). Cultural reproduction and social reproduction. In J. Karabel & A. H. Halsey (Eds.), Power and Ideology in Education (pp. 487–511). Oxford University Press.

Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.

Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J. C. (1977). Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture. Sage.

Chib, A. (2018). The politics of mobile media: Mobile communication in Asia. Routledge.

DiMaggio, P., Hargittai, E., Neuman, W. R., & Robinson, J. P. (2017). Social implications of the internet. Annual Review of Sociology, 27(1), 307–336.

Gicheru, N., & Herselman, M. (2012). Mobile health for non-communicable diseases in Sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review of the literature and strategic framework for research. In 2012 IST-Africa Conference Proceedings (pp. 1–11). IEEE.

Hargittai, E. (2010). Digital na(t)ives? Variation in Internet skills and uses among members of the "Net Generation". Sociological Inquiry, 80(1), 92–113.

Helsper, E. J., & Eynon, R. (2013). Distinct skill pathways to digital engagement. European Journal of Communication, 28(6), 696–713.

Livingstone, S., Carr, J., & Byrne, J. (2017). One in three: Internet governance and children's rights. New Media & Society, 19(5), 670–686.

Middleton, C. A., & Tait, C. L. (2017). Broadband: A strategy for regional development. Journal of Rural Studies, 53, 227–238.

Seale, C., & Zoonen, L. V. (2018). Towards an agenda for critical research in digital education. Learning, Media and Technology, 43(1), 1–14.

van Dijk, J. A. (2013). The digital divide as a complex and dynamic phenomenon. The Information Society, 19(4), 315–326.

Warschauer, M., & Matuchniak, T. (2010). New technology and digital worlds: Analyzing evidence of equity in access, use, and outcomes. Review of Research in Education, 34(1), 179–225.