

## **The Role of Identity Politics in Alliances**

**Shelley K. Smith**

St. Lawrence University

### **Abstract**

*The formation and maintenance of alliances in international relations are complex processes influenced by various factors, including geopolitical considerations, shared interests, and historical ties. This study investigates the role of identity politics in shaping alliances, focusing on examples from the United States (USA), Canada, Europe, and Africa. Through a constructivist lens, the study examines how identities such as nationality, ethnicity, religion, and ideology impact alliance formation and cohesion. Drawing on case studies like the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) in the USA, the North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) in Canada, the European Union (EU) in Europe, and the African Union (AU) in Africa, the study highlights the multifaceted nature of alliances and the significance of identity narratives. The study reveals that shared identities within alliances often promote solidarity and cooperation, as seen in the historical alliances like NATO and NORAD. Conversely, conflicting identities or divergent interpretations of identity can lead to tensions and disagreements, as demonstrated in the complexities of the EU. The intersections of identity politics with other factors such as ideology and security concerns further complicate alliance dynamics, influencing leadership roles and decision-making processes. Through an analysis of these examples, the study provides insights into the intricacies of identity politics within alliances. The findings contribute to theory by advancing the constructivist perspective in international relations, emphasizing the socially constructed nature of identities and their impact on alliance behavior. Practically, the study offers policymakers and diplomats insights into navigating identity-based considerations within alliances, promoting inclusivity and cooperation. Recommendations include fostering dialogue that celebrates diverse identities and addressing historical grievances to promote stable alliances. The study also has broader implications for global governance, highlighting the interconnectedness of states and the importance of understanding diverse perspectives for promoting peace and stability.*

**Keywords:** *Identity Politics, Alliances, International Relations, Constructivism, NATO, NORAD, European Union, African Union, Solidarity, Conflict Management, Leadership, Policy Recommendations*

---

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the Study

The formation and maintenance of alliances between nations are critical aspects of international relations, shaped by a myriad of factors including geopolitical considerations, shared interests, and historical ties. Scholars have long studied how countries come together in alliances and how they navigate the complexities of maintaining these relationships over time. In the context of the United States (USA), alliances have been instrumental in shaping its foreign policy and security strategies, particularly in regions like Europe and Asia. For instance, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) stands as a prime example of a long-standing alliance that has served to promote collective defense among its member states (Brands, 2016). NATO was formed in 1949 as a response to the perceived threat posed by the Soviet Union during the Cold War. It not only facilitated a military alliance but also fostered political and economic cooperation among its members. This historical alliance continues to evolve, demonstrating the significance of trust and shared security interests in maintaining such partnerships.

In Canada, alliances play a crucial role in its foreign policy, particularly within the North American context. The North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) is a notable example of an enduring defense alliance between Canada and the USA (Plouffe & English, 2020). Established in 1958, NORAD represents a commitment to shared airspace defense and surveillance. It highlights how countries with geographical proximity can form alliances to enhance their security and response capabilities. Beyond NORAD, Canada's involvement in multilateral alliances such as the United Nations (UN) Peacekeeping Operations showcases its commitment to international cooperation and peacekeeping efforts (MacArthur, 2018). These alliances not only contribute to Canada's security but also serve diplomatic and humanitarian purposes, demonstrating the multifaceted nature of alliance formation and maintenance.

In Europe, the formation and maintenance of alliances have been central to the continent's history, particularly following the devastation of World War II. The European Union (EU) stands as a unique example of a supranational alliance focused on economic and political integration (Bickerton, 2015). The EU began as the European Coal and Steel Community in the 1950s, evolving into a union of member states committed to shared policies, trade agreements, and governance structures. The EU's expansion over the years, with countries like Poland and Hungary joining in 2004, illustrates the attraction of the benefits of collective security, economic prosperity, and democratic values (Poptcheva, 2020). The maintenance of the EU alliance involves complex negotiations, compromises, and efforts to balance the interests of diverse member states.

Conversely, the African continent has seen a mix of regional and pan-African alliances aimed at addressing common challenges such as security threats, economic development, and political stability (Nolutshungu, 2015). The African Union (AU) represents a continental alliance formed in 2001 to promote unity, peace, and development across Africa (Murithi, 2014). The AU's Peace and Security Council works to prevent conflicts and facilitate peacekeeping missions, reflecting the continent's commitment to collective security (Murithi, 2020). Additionally, regional alliances like the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) demonstrate how countries in specific geographical areas come together to address regional challenges. ECOWAS has intervened in conflicts such as the civil wars in Liberia and Sierra Leone, showcasing the role of regional alliances in maintaining peace and stability (Yufanyi, 2018). The formation and maintenance of alliances are dynamic processes influenced by historical contexts, shared interests, and evolving security landscapes. Examples from the USA, Canada, Europe, and Africa highlight the diverse nature of alliances, from military pacts like NATO and NORAD to supranational unions like the EU and regional alliances in Africa. These

alliances are not only about military cooperation but also encompass political, economic, and diplomatic dimensions. As demonstrated by the examples, alliances require ongoing efforts to build trust, navigate disagreements, and adapt to changing circumstances. They serve as mechanisms for collective security, promoting peace, stability, and mutual prosperity in an interconnected world.

Identity politics plays a significant role in the formation and maintenance of alliances in international relations, shaping the way nations interact, collaborate, and form collective identities. At its core, identity politics revolves around the notion that individuals or groups derive their political positions and actions based on their social identities, which can include nationality, ethnicity, religion, or ideology (McClain, 2020). In the context of alliances, identity politics often serves as a driving force behind the decision to form partnerships with other nations. Nations may align with those who share similar identities or values, believing that such alliances bolster their own sense of identity and security (Jackson, 2018). This aligns with the constructivist perspective in International Relations, which emphasizes the role of ideas, norms, and identities in shaping state behavior (Wendt, 1999). Thus, identity politics can be seen as a foundational element in the initiation of alliances.

Identity politics also influences the maintenance of alliances, as member states within an alliance navigate the complexities of their shared identities and interests over time. Once alliances are formed, identity politics can both strengthen and challenge their cohesion. For example, within the European Union (EU), the diverse national identities of member states have been both a unifying force and a source of tension (Peters, 2016). The EU's ability to maintain cohesion despite these differences speaks to the negotiation and compromise required to navigate identity politics within alliances. Similarly, in the African Union (AU), member states with varied historical and cultural backgrounds must reconcile their identities to work towards common goals (Okafor, 2019). This ongoing negotiation of identities within alliances is crucial for their longevity and effectiveness.

Furthermore, identity politics can influence the power dynamics within alliances, affecting which countries take on leadership roles and how decisions are made. In some cases, dominant or larger nations may use their identity as a basis for asserting leadership within an alliance (Kumar, 2017). For example, the USA's identity as a superpower has historically influenced its role in leadership positions within alliances such as NATO. Conversely, smaller or less dominant nations may strategically use their identities to form coalitions within alliances to counterbalance larger powers (Clark, 2015). These dynamics highlight how identity politics shapes not only the formation but also the internal functioning of alliances.

Moreover, identity politics can be a double-edged sword in alliances, as it can lead to both solidarity and division. Solidarity often emerges when nations with shared identities come together for common purposes, such as mutual defense or economic cooperation (Eriksen & Fossum, 2018). This solidarity strengthens the alliance and fosters a sense of unity among member states. However, identity politics can also lead to divisions, particularly when historical grievances or differing identities create tensions (Risse, 2019). For instance, historical animosities between certain European nations have at times strained the unity of the EU, demonstrating how identity politics can pose challenges to maintaining cohesive alliances (Bickerton, 2015). Thus, managing these tensions becomes crucial for the maintenance of alliances.

Additionally, identity politics can intersect with other factors such as ideology or security considerations to shape alliance formation and maintenance. Ideological identities, such as democracy or authoritarianism, can lead countries to form alliances with those who share similar political systems (Zarakol, 2019). For example, the USA often seeks alliances with other democracies based on shared values of governance and human rights. Security identities also play a role, as countries may form alliances based on perceived security threats or common adversaries (Van Evera, 2018). NATO's

formation during the Cold War was driven by a shared identity of Western liberal democracy and a perceived threat from the Soviet Union. These intersections highlight how identity politics interacts with other factors to shape alliances.

Furthermore, the role of leadership in managing identity politics within alliances cannot be understated. Leaders play a crucial role in articulating and negotiating national identities to foster cooperation and mitigate conflicts (Harnisch et al., 2017). Effective leadership can help navigate the complexities of identity politics, promoting inclusivity and compromise within alliances. Conversely, poor leadership or divisive rhetoric can exacerbate identity-based tensions, leading to fractures within alliances (Yucel, 2012). The examples of leaders like Angela Merkel in the EU and Nelson Mandela in the AU demonstrate how leadership can shape the trajectory of identity politics within alliances.

Moreover, the evolution of identity politics in the digital age has introduced new dynamics to alliance formation and maintenance. Social media and digital platforms have provided avenues for individuals and groups to amplify their identities and influence public opinion (Chadwick & Howard, 2020). This can have ripple effects on alliances, as public sentiment and identity-based movements can pressure governments to reassess their alliance commitments (Carpenter, 2018). The rise of populist movements in Europe, for instance, has challenged the traditional narratives of European identity and cooperation within the EU (Mudde, 2019). Thus, the digital landscape adds another layer of complexity to the role of identity politics in alliances.

Identity politics is a multifaceted and dynamic force that significantly impacts the formation and maintenance of alliances in international relations. From shaping initial partnerships based on shared identities to influencing power dynamics and internal cohesion, identity politics is integral to understanding how alliances function. Its role in solidarity and division, intersections with ideology and security, and interactions with leadership and the digital age all contribute to the complex landscape of alliance politics. As nations continue to navigate their identities on the global stage, the study of identity politics remains crucial for comprehending the intricate webs of alliances that define the international system.

## **1.2 Objective of the Study**

The general purpose of this study was to investigate how identity-based considerations affect the formation and maintenance of international alliances.

## **1.3 Statement of the Problem**

According to statistics from the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI), global military expenditure reached \$1.83 trillion in 2020, representing an increase of 2.6% from the previous year (SIPRI, 2021). This substantial investment in defense highlights the continued importance of alliances in international relations, particularly in the context of evolving security landscapes and shifting geopolitical dynamics. However, while the formation and maintenance of alliances are critical for global security and cooperation, there remains a gap in understanding the nuanced role of identity politics within these alliances. Specifically, the problem statement guiding this study, "The Role of Identity Politics in Alliances," revolves around the need to comprehensively analyze how identity-based considerations shape the initiation, functioning, and sustainability of alliances among nations.

The study aims to address several key research gaps in the field of international relations. Firstly, existing literature often focuses on the strategic and security aspects of alliances, overlooking the role of identity politics (Risse, 2019). While scholars have recognized the importance of shared identities in alliance formation, there is a lack of in-depth analysis into how identities evolve within alliances and influence decision-making processes (Kumar, 2017). This study seeks to bridge this gap by

providing a nuanced understanding of how national, ethnic, or ideological identities impact the dynamics of alliances.

Secondly, the study intends to fill a gap in the literature regarding the intersections of identity politics with other factors such as ideology and security concerns. While some research has explored these intersections, there is a need for more comprehensive studies that delve into the complexities of how identities, ideologies, and security perceptions interact to shape alliances (Zarakol, 2019). Understanding these dynamics is crucial for policymakers and diplomats to navigate the intricate landscape of international alliances effectively. Furthermore, the study aims to contribute to the literature on the role of leadership in managing identity politics within alliances. While leadership is recognized as a crucial factor in alliance cohesion, there is limited research on how leaders navigate identity-based tensions and promote inclusivity within diverse alliances (Harnisch et al., 2017). By examining case studies from various regions, the study seeks to identify effective leadership strategies for fostering cooperation and mitigating conflicts arising from identity politics.

The findings of this study are expected to benefit multiple stakeholders in the realm of international relations. Firstly, policymakers and diplomats involved in alliance formation and maintenance will gain valuable insights into the complexities of identity politics. By understanding how identities influence alliances, they can make more informed decisions regarding partnership building, conflict resolution, and negotiation strategies (Clark, 2015). Secondly, scholars and researchers in the field of international relations will benefit from the study's contributions to theoretical frameworks. The nuanced analysis of identity politics within alliances will enrich existing theories and provide a foundation for further research in this area (Eriksen & Fossum, 2018).

Additionally, the findings will be valuable for educators and students studying international relations, providing them with a deeper understanding of the multifaceted nature of alliances. Case studies and empirical evidence presented in the study can serve as educational tools for classrooms and academic programs focused on diplomacy, security studies, and global governance (Peters, 2016). Ultimately, a better understanding of the role of identity politics in alliances has the potential to contribute to more stable and effective international partnerships. By promoting inclusivity, managing identity-based conflicts, and highlighting the benefits of diverse alliances, the study's findings can support efforts towards global peace, security, and cooperation.

## **REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

### **2.1 Constructivism in International Relations**

The theory of Constructivism in International Relations was developed by Alexander Wendt in the early 1990s. Constructivism posits that the identities and interests of states are socially constructed through interactions with other states, rather than being inherent or fixed (Wendt, 1999). It emphasizes the role of ideas, norms, and shared beliefs in shaping state behavior and the international system. In the context of alliances, Constructivism argues that the formation and maintenance of alliances are deeply influenced by the identities that states construct for themselves and for others. This includes national, ethnic, cultural, and ideological identities that shape how states perceive each other and their willingness to cooperate.

The theory of Constructivism provides a strong theoretical foundation for the study "The Role of Identity Politics in Alliances." By focusing on how identities are socially constructed and how they influence state behavior, Constructivism aligns with the study's objective of analyzing the impact of identity politics on alliances. According to Constructivism, states' perceptions of their own identities and the identities of others are crucial factors in determining whether alliances are formed and how they are maintained. Constructivism suggests that states are not simply rational actors driven by material interests, but are also influenced by their understanding of the world and their place within it.

In the context of alliances, states may form partnerships with those who share similar identities or values, as this fosters a sense of trust and mutual understanding (Wendt, 1999). Conversely, conflicting identities or perceptions can create barriers to cooperation and lead to the breakdown of alliances.

The theory of Constructivism also highlights the role of social norms and shared beliefs in shaping alliances. States may be more likely to join alliances that align with their identity narratives or that are perceived as legitimate within their social context (Wendt, 1999). This aspect of the theory emphasizes the importance of understanding how identity politics within alliances can lead to solidarity or division among member states. Constructivism provides a lens through which to examine how identity politics shapes the formation, functioning, and sustainability of alliances in international relations. By focusing on the socially constructed nature of identities and the role of shared beliefs, norms, and perceptions, Constructivism offers a comprehensive framework for understanding the complex interplay between identity politics and alliances. This theory of Constructivism, as articulated by Alexander Wendt, forms the basis for understanding the ways in which identity politics influence the behavior of states within alliances. It offers a nuanced perspective that goes beyond traditional realist or liberal theories by emphasizing the importance of ideas, identities, and perceptions in shaping international relations.

## **2.2 Empirical Review**

Smith & Chen (2017) explored the role of identity politics in the formation of military alliances, focusing on NATO and the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO). A qualitative comparative analysis methodology was employed, utilizing archival research and content analysis of official documents. The findings revealed that while NATO's identity was largely shaped by its shared values of democracy and Western liberalism, the SCO's identity was rooted in its members' shared history, culture, and opposition to Western hegemony. This study underscores the significance of identity narratives in alliance formation and provides insights into how diverse identities within alliances can impact their cohesion and effectiveness.

Okeke & Sow (2019) aimed to investigate the influence of ethnic identity on alliance behavior, focusing on ECOWAS as a case study. A mixed-methods approach was used, combining surveys with key stakeholders and historical analysis of ECOWAS decision-making processes. The findings revealed that ethnic identities played a significant role in the formation and maintenance of alliances within ECOWAS. Ethnic cleavages influenced decision-making, resource allocation, and the resolution of conflicts within the organization. The study suggests that understanding and managing ethnic identities is crucial for the effectiveness and sustainability of regional alliances.

Ali & Khan (2015) aimed to examine how religious identity influences conflict resolution within the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC). A case study methodology was employed, analyzing OIC's interventions in conflicts among member states. The findings indicated that religious identity served as both a unifying force and a source of division within the OIC. While shared religious identity facilitated cooperation and solidarity, differing interpretations of Islam often hindered conflict resolution efforts. The study recommends that the OIC develop mechanisms to reconcile diverse religious identities and promote inclusive dialogue for effective conflict resolution.

Nguyen & Lee (2020) aimed to explore the role of national identity in shaping alliance dynamics within the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN). A qualitative case study approach was employed, analyzing official ASEAN documents and interviews with key policymakers. The study found that national identities of member states influenced their approaches to alliance-building and decision-making within ASEAN. While shared regional identity promoted cooperation, divergent national identities sometimes led to disagreements and challenges in forming consensus. The study recommends that ASEAN member states acknowledge and manage their national identities to enhance the effectiveness of the alliance.

Davis & Williams (2018) aimed to investigate the influence of gender identity on diplomatic relations within international alliances, focusing on women leaders. A comparative case study methodology was employed, examining the roles and experiences of women leaders in NATO and the African Union. The findings revealed that women leaders often brought unique perspectives and priorities to alliance discussions, challenging traditional norms and fostering inclusivity. However, gender identity also subjected women leaders to scrutiny and gendered stereotypes, impacting their ability to assert influence. The study suggests that promoting gender equality within alliances can lead to more diverse and effective decision-making processes.

Smith & Petrov (2016) explored the influence of ideological identity on military alliances, focusing on NATO and the Warsaw Pact during the Cold War. A historical comparative analysis methodology was employed, examining the ideological foundations and values of the two alliances. The findings revealed that while NATO was grounded in principles of democracy and individual liberty, the Warsaw Pact emphasized socialism and collective security. These ideological differences not only shaped the strategies and policies of the alliances but also influenced their interactions and perceptions of each other. The study highlights the enduring impact of ideological identity on alliance behavior.

Von Stein & Müller (2014) aimed to examine the role of cultural identity in fostering economic cooperation within the European Union (EU). A mixed-methods approach was used, combining surveys with EU citizens and analysis of economic policies and cultural initiatives. The findings indicated that cultural identity, as reflected in shared values, languages, and historical narratives, played a significant role in promoting economic integration within the EU. Strong cultural ties facilitated trust and solidarity among member states, contributing to the success of initiatives such as the single market and the Eurozone. The study recommends that the EU continue to emphasize cultural cooperation as a means of strengthening economic ties.

### **2.3 Research Gaps**

The studies on the role of identity politics in alliances have made significant contributions to the understanding of how identities shape international relations. However, several research gaps have emerged from these studies, pointing towards directions for future research. Firstly, there is a need for more research that delves deeper into the intersectionality of identities within alliances. While some studies have focused on specific aspects of identity, such as ethnic or religious identities, future research could explore how multiple identities interact and influence alliance dynamics. For example, a study could investigate how the intersection of gender, ethnic, and national identities impacts decision-making processes within alliances. Understanding these complex layers of identity could provide a more nuanced understanding of alliance behavior and cohesion.

Secondly, many of the existing studies have been case-specific, focusing on individual alliances or regions. There is a gap in research that conducts comparative analyses across different types of alliances or between regions. For instance, a comparative study could analyze how identity politics operate in military alliances like NATO versus economic alliances like ASEAN. Such comparative studies could reveal commonalities and differences in how identities influence alliances across diverse contexts, providing valuable insights into the generalizability of findings.

Thirdly, the methodological approaches used in existing studies have primarily been qualitative or mixed-methods. While these approaches are valuable for capturing the complexities of identity politics, there is a need for more quantitative research in this field. Future studies could employ quantitative methods to measure the impact of identity variables on alliance outcomes, such as the strength of alliances, conflict resolution success, or economic cooperation. This would allow for more rigorous testing of hypotheses and the development of predictive models for alliance behavior based on identity factors.

---

## RESEARCH DESIGN

The study conducted a comprehensive examination and synthesis of existing scholarly works related to the role of agroecology in sustainable livestock practices. This multifaceted process entailed reviewing a diverse range of academic sources, including books, journal articles, and other relevant publications, to acquire a thorough understanding of the current state of knowledge within the field. Through a systematic exploration of the literature, researchers gain insights into key theories, methodologies, findings, and gaps in the existing body of knowledge, which subsequently informs the development of the research framework and questions.

## FINDINGS

The study reveals several general findings that underscore the significant influence of identity on the formation, functioning, and maintenance of alliances in international relations. Across various case studies and analyses, it becomes evident that identities, whether national, ethnic, religious, or ideological, play a pivotal role in shaping the dynamics of alliances. Shared identities within alliances often foster solidarity and cooperation, leading to strengthened partnerships and collective action. Conversely, conflicting identities or divergent interpretations of identity can create challenges, ranging from disagreements in decision-making to potential fractures within alliances. Moreover, the study highlights the nuanced ways in which identities intersect and influence alliance behavior, emphasizing the need for a multifaceted understanding of how identity politics operate in alliances. These findings underscore the complexity of identity politics within the realm of alliances and the importance of considering identity factors in diplomatic strategies and international cooperation efforts.

## CONCLUSION AND CONTRIBUTION TO THEORY, PRACTICE AND POLICY

### 5.1 Conclusion

The study has provided valuable insights into how identities shape the formation and maintenance of alliances in international relations. Through a comprehensive review of literature and analysis of case studies, several key conclusions can be drawn. Firstly, identities play a significant role in alliance formation, with states often seeking partnerships with those who share similar identities or values. Whether it is NATO's shared values of democracy and Western liberalism or the SCO's emphasis on shared history and opposition to Western hegemony, identity narratives influence the initial decisions to form alliances. This underscores the importance of identity politics as a driving force behind the creation of cooperative frameworks among nations.

Secondly, the study highlights how identities within alliances can both strengthen and challenge their cohesion. While shared identities can promote solidarity and trust, divergent identities or conflicting interpretations of identity can lead to tensions and disagreements. This dynamic was evident in the analysis of ASEAN, where national identities influenced alliance dynamics, sometimes hindering consensus-building. Managing these identity-based tensions becomes crucial for the longevity and effectiveness of alliances. Thirdly, the study reveals that identity politics intersect with other factors such as ideology, religion, and gender. These intersections further complicate alliance dynamics, as seen in the religious identity within the OIC or the gender identity of women leaders in international alliances. Understanding these complex intersections is essential for policymakers and diplomats to navigate the multifaceted nature of alliances and promote inclusivity and effective decision-making.

Lastly, the study underscores the need for future research to delve deeper into the intersectionality of identities within alliances, conduct comparative analyses across different types of alliances or regions, and employ a mix of qualitative and quantitative methodologies. By addressing these research gaps, scholars and policymakers can gain a more nuanced understanding of how identity politics influence alliances and develop strategies to foster cooperation and mitigate conflicts. In conclusion, the study



has shed light on the intricate ways in which identities shape alliances in international relations. It emphasizes the significance of identity narratives in alliance formation, the complexities of managing diverse identities within alliances, and the intersections of identities with other factors. Moving forward, a deeper exploration of these themes will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of alliance behavior and inform strategies for promoting stable and effective international partnerships.

## **5.2 Contributions to Theory, Practice and Policy**

Firstly, from a theoretical standpoint, this study has enriched the understanding of how identity politics influences alliance formation and maintenance. By drawing on the constructivist perspective, the study has highlighted that identities are not fixed but socially constructed, shaping states' perceptions and behaviors within alliances. This has advanced the constructivist framework by applying it specifically to the realm of alliances, demonstrating that identities such as national, ethnic, religious, or ideological play a crucial role in determining the nature and longevity of alliances. The study has deepened the theoretical discourse by emphasizing that alliances are not just about strategic interests but are also driven by shared identities and norms.

In terms of practical implications, the study provides valuable insights for policymakers and diplomats involved in alliance-building. Understanding the role of identity politics allows policymakers to make more informed decisions about potential alliance partners and strategies for maintaining cohesion. For example, policymakers can take into account the shared values and identities of potential allies when considering partnership agreements or conflict resolution efforts. This understanding can lead to more effective diplomacy and negotiation, as policymakers navigate the complexities of identity-based considerations within alliances.

Moreover, the study has shed light on the importance of inclusivity and diversity within alliances. By recognizing the role of identity politics, policymakers can work towards creating more inclusive and representative alliances. This can foster greater trust and cooperation among member states, as they feel their identities and perspectives are respected and valued. Additionally, the study has highlighted the potential risks of identity-based conflicts within alliances, urging policymakers to proactively address and manage such tensions to prevent the fragmentation of alliances.

From a policy perspective, the study offers recommendations for promoting more effective and sustainable alliances. For instance, policymakers may consider promoting dialogue and exchanges that celebrate diverse identities within alliances, fostering a sense of unity amid diversity (Nguyen & Lee, 2020). Additionally, policies aimed at addressing historical grievances or inequalities that contribute to identity-based tensions can contribute to more stable alliances. The study's findings can inform the development of policies that encourage cooperation and solidarity while mitigating potential sources of division within alliances.

Furthermore, the study has broader implications for global governance and cooperation. By highlighting the role of identity politics in alliances, the study underscores the interconnectedness of states and the importance of understanding diverse perspectives. This understanding can contribute to a more cooperative and harmonious international system, where states recognize and respect each other's identities and work together towards common goals. In this sense, the study's findings have implications for broader efforts to promote peace, stability, and prosperity on a global scale.

In conclusion, the study has made significant contributions to theory, practice, and policy in international relations. It has deepened our understanding of how identity politics shapes alliances, providing a theoretical framework that emphasizes the social construction of identities and their impact on alliance behavior. From a practical standpoint, the study offers insights for policymakers on how to navigate identity-based considerations within alliances, promoting inclusivity and cooperation.

---

Policymakers can use these insights to develop policies that foster more effective and sustainable alliances, contributing to global governance and cooperation efforts.

---

## REFERENCES

- Ali, M., & Khan, A. (2015). Religious Identity and Conflict Resolution: Lessons from the Organization of Islamic Cooperation. *Journal of Peace Research*, 52(4), 489-506.
- Bickerton, C. J. (2015). *European integration: From the Coal and Steel Community to the European Union*. Routledge.
- Brands, H. (2016). *Making the unipolar moment: US foreign policy and the rise of the post-Cold War order*. Cornell University Press.
- Carpenter, T. G. (2018). Identity Narratives, Populism, and US Foreign Policy. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics*.
- Chadwick, A., & Howard, P. N. (2020). *Routledge Handbook of Social Media and Politics*. Routledge.
- Clark, I. (2015). *Hegemony in International Society*. Oxford University Press.
- Davis, K. L., & Williams, M. J. (2018). Gender Identity and Diplomatic Relations: Women Leaders in International Alliances. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 20(3), 342-360.
- Eriksen, E. O., & Fossum, J. E. (2018). *The European Union's non-members: Independence under hegemony?* Routledge.
- Harnisch, S., Frank, C., & Maull, H. W. (Eds.). (2017). *Role Theory in International Relations: Approaches and Analyses*. Routledge.
- Jackson, P. T. (2018). *The Global Covenant: Human Conduct in a World of States*. Oxford University Press.
- Kumar, A. (2017). Understanding power and influence in global governance: The role of power, identity, and ideology in shaping the rise of BRICS. *Globalizations*, 14(2), 239-256.
- MacArthur, J. (2018). *Canada and UN peacekeeping: Cold War by other means*. University of British Columbia Press.
- McClain, P. D. (2020). *Race, Gender, and Political Representation: Toward a More Intersectional Approach*. Oxford University Press.
- Mudde, C. (2019). *The Far Right Today*. Polity Press.
- Murithi, T. (2014). *The African Union: Pan-Africanism, peacebuilding and development*. Ashgate Publishing.
- Murithi, T. (2020). *The African Union: Autocracy, diplomatic strategies and peacekeeping missions*. Routledge.
- Nguyen, T. T., & Lee, H. (2020). National Identity and Alliance Dynamics: Insights from ASEAN. *Asian Security*, 16(2), 123-141.
- Nolutshungu, S. C. B. (2015). *Limits of Anarchy: Intervention and State Formation in Chad*. University of Virginia Press.
- Okafor, O. (2019). *The African Union as a Human Rights Institution: A Critical Appraisal*. Routledge.
- Okeke, C. N., & Sow, A. B. (2019). Ethnic Identity and Alliance Behavior: Insights from ECOWAS. *Journal of African Security Studies*, 28(2), 187-205.
- Peters, B. G. (2016). *The Politics of European Union Health Policies*. Manchester University Press.
- Plouffe, M., & English, J. (2020). NORAD: A Case Study in Binational Military Command. In *Binational Security Cooperation* (pp. 23-46). Palgrave Macmillan.

- 
- Poptcheva, E. (2020). Enlargement of the European Union. European Parliamentary Research Service.
- Risse, T. (2019). *A Community of Europeans? Transnational Identities and Public Spheres*. Cornell University Press.
- Smith, A. B., & Petrov, V. (2016). Ideological Identity and Military Alliances: Lessons from NATO and the Warsaw Pact. *Journal of Cold War Studies*, 18(4), 154-173.
- Smith, J. D., & Chen, L. (2017). Identity Politics and Military Alliances: A Comparative Study of NATO and the Shanghai Cooperation Organization. *International Studies Quarterly*, 61(3), 524-541.
- Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI). (2021). Global military expenditure rises to \$1.83 trillion in 2020. SIPRI. <https://www.sipri.org/media/press-release/2021/global-military-expenditure-rises-183-trillion-2020>
- Van Evera, S. (2018). *Causes of War: Power and the Roots of Conflict*. Cornell University Press.
- Von Stein, J., & Müller, K. (2014). Cultural Identity and Economic Cooperation: The Case of the European Union. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 52(3), 457-474.
- Wendt, A. (1999). *Social theory of international politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Yucel, S. (2012). Globalisation and national identity: The case of Turkey. *Journal of Southern Europe and the Balkans*, 14(2), 167-179.
- Yufanyi, C. E. (2018). *ECOWAS and the Search for Regional Security: A Critical Appraisal*. Lexington Books.
- Zarakol, A. (2019). What makes great powers tick? Theories of international power and the case of China. *Global Discourse*, 9(1), 93-109.